Measurement of Muon Flux in CJPL (China JinPing Underground Laboratory)

Wu Yucheng Tsinghua University, Beijing 25/03/2011



Outline

Basic Situation

Detector

Experiment Sites

Experiment
 Schematic Diagram
 Threshold
 Time Window

Results

Rate

Detection Efficiency

First Muon Event @ CJPL

Summary



Detector

Plastic Scintillator

 $100 \text{cm} \times 50 \text{cm} \times 5 \text{cm}$

• **PMT:** CR136

Voltage: 12V \pm 0.5V (tune through potentiometer)

Output

 negative pulse (0 to -5V)
 leading edge ~90ns
 trailing edge ~620ns
 FWHM ~400ns





CJPL: Underground Level Experimental Sites





B-21: Ground Level



CJPL



Schematic Diagram





Threshold Selection





FADC Calibration



	Threshold	8	16	32	64	128	255		
РМТ 023	Bin Cut	1644	1706	1825	2063	2509	3407		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.12X + 1595.54 \ (\chi^2 = 247/4)$							
PMT 038	Bin Cut	1564	1624	1751	1988	2453	3372		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.30X + 1512.62 \ (\chi^2 = 202/4)$							
РМТ 026	Bin Cut	1390	1453	1576	1808	2258	3149		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.10X + 1343.82 \ (\chi^2 = 310/4)$							
PMT 039	Bin Cut	1640	1708	1827	2058	2519	3404		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.12X + 1595.70$ ($\chi^2 = 414.5/4$)							
РМТ 022	Bin Cut	1560	1628	1753	1981	2460	3391		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.39X + 1509.31 \ (\chi^2 = 155.2/4)$							
РМТ 025	Bin Cut	1395	1453	1567	1806	2258	3142		
	Fitting	$Y = 7.08X + 1343.66 \ (\chi^2 = 252.8/4)$							



Window Width Selection





Coincidence Curve

Time Window:



Time window of 120ns would be fine.



Counting Rate

• B-21		Gro	up A		Group B			
Logic	9	2	5	9&2&5	3	8	6	3&8&6
FADC Rate(Hz)	85.18	78.82	81.82	29.93	89.31	82.38	84.88	31.49
Counter Rate(Hz)	89.64	84.91	83.52	30.26	88.44	83.48	85.40	31.44

Corrections of Detection Efficiency & Solid Angle are needed for the Muon Flux.

• CJPL

Background rate for each detector: ~1Hz

Several Candidates of Muon Event



Rate of Random Coincidence





Detection Efficiency

- Tested in B-21 (The Middle Detector) Threshold: -255mV Time Window: 120ns $\eta = \frac{N_{3-fold_coincidence}}{N_{upper\&lower_coincidence} - N_{upper\&lower_random_coincidence}}$
- $$\begin{split} \eta_{A} &= 29.93 \; / \; (30.38 0.0008) \; \times \; 100\% = 98.52\% \\ \eta_{B} &= 31.49 \; / \; (31.83 0.0009) \; \times \; 100\% = 98.93\% \end{split}$$



Normalization

Spectrum of B-21_Group A

PS039

PS022 PS025

1000 Counts

800

600

400

- Normalized to Landau Peak
- Comparable for different detectors and sites



Assume that the performance of detector & PMT are stable both in B-21 & CJPL.



Normalized Amp(100%)

1.5

First Muon Event @ CJPL (Candidate)

• **Date:** 2010/12/02

PS038 : Middle

- Time: 04:49:19
- Group No.: B

Evt9703721_Ch4

Normalized Amp(100%) 0 8 1

0.4

0.2

0 -1000

-500

0

500

1000

1500

2000

2500

Time(ns)

3000





Summary

- Detectors works well
- Several Candidates of Muon Event in CJPL
- Muon flux detection in CJPL is undergoing Prediction: < 100 m⁻²y⁻¹ (10⁻⁷ -10⁻⁸ of Ground Level)

Thank you very much!

Comments and Questions?

